**CONTESTANT NUMBER \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**RATING SHEET – COMPLETE ONE PER CONTESTANT**

**PRESENTATION SCORE**

Judge 1 (140 points) \_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Judge 2 (140 points) \_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Judge 3 (140 points) \_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Total Judges’ Points \_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Divided by # of Judges \_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**AVERAGE PRESENTATION SCORE** \_\_\_\_\_\_ (140 maximum)

**SPECIFICATION SCORE** \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ ( 20 maximum)

**TOTAL SCORE \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ (160 maximum)**

**RANK**

#### Judge Number Contestant Number \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**Presentation Scoring Rubric**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Items to Evaluate** | **Below**  **Average** | **Average** | **Good** | **Excellent** | **Points Awarded** |
| **Opening:**  Introduction of case study | 1–5 | 6–10 | 11–15 | 16–20 |  |
| **Effectiveness of presentation:**  Purpose achieved, logically organized, clearly understood | 1–5 | 6–10 | 11–15 | 16–20 |  |
| **Mechanics:**  Diction, grammar, pronunciation,  gestures, poise, eye contact | 1–5 | 6–10 | 11–15 | 16–20 |  |
| **Closing:**  Summary and conclusion | 1–5 | 6–10 | 11–15 | 16–20 |  |
| **Solution to case study:**  Quality of solution  Development of subject matter  Depth of research | 1–5 | 6–10 | 11–15 | 16–20 |  |
| **Problem solving skills** | 1–5 | 6–10 | 11–15 | 16–20 |  |
| **Response to judges’ questions** | 1–5 | 6–10 | 11–15 | 16–20 |  |
| **TOTAL PRESENTATION POINTS (140 points maximum)** | | | | |  |

**Specification Scoring Rubric**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **SPECIFICATION POINTS**: All points or none per item are awarded by the proctor per contestant, *not* per judge. | | **Points Awarded** |
| Presentation lasted no less than five (5) and no more than seven (7) minutes | 10 |  |
| Did *not* use any materials other than those specified for the event. | 10 |  |
| **TOTAL SPECIFICATION POINTS (20 points maximum)** | |  |

**TOTAL MAXIMUM POINTS = 160**